# **Mechanism of the Oxidative Coupling of Methane Using CO<sub>2</sub> as an Oxidant over PbO-MgO**

Takahito Nishiyama and Ken-ichi Aika<sup>1</sup>

*Research Laboratory of Resources Utilization, Tokyo Institute of Technology, 4259 Nagatsuta, Midori-ku, Yokohama, 227 Japan* 

Received March 1, 1989; revised November 7, 1989

 $CO<sub>2</sub>$  was suggested to be utilized as an oxidant for the oxidative coupling of methane over PbO-MgO and alkaline earth metal-doped CaO catalysts under  $P_{\text{CH}_4} = 8.3 \text{ kPa}, P_{\text{CO}_2} = 8.3 \text{ kPa}$ , and  $P_{\text{O}_2}$ = 0.08 kPa, and at 1073 K. The mechanism of this reaction (2CH<sub>4</sub> + CO<sub>2</sub> = C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>6</sub> + CO + H<sub>2</sub>O and/ or  $2CH_4 + 2CO_2 = C_2H_4 + 2CO + 2H_2O$  was studied over a 15 mol% PbO-MgO catalyst by using isotope techniques and kinetics. <sup>13</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> and <sup>12</sup>CD<sub>4</sub> gave exclusively <sup>13</sup>CO and <sup>12</sup>C<sub>2</sub> hydrocarbons, and no <sup>12</sup>CO was produced under the low  $W/F$  conditions at 1073 K. One of the oxygen atoms of CO<sub>2</sub> was concluded to be used for the CH<sub>4</sub> coupling reaction through the reverse shift reaction of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . It was also found that  $C_2$  hydrocarbons reacted further with oxygen from  $CO_2$  under conditions of high conversion. © 1990 Academic Press, Inc.

#### INTRODUCTION

Oxidative coupling of methane is an attractive reaction for the chemical utilization of natural gas and has been studied over various catalysts *(1-10).* Generally, it is believed that the gas-phase oxygen depresses  $C_2$  hydrocarbon selectivity because the methyl radical intermediate is oxidized by the gas-phase oxygen to  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ , probably by way of  $CH<sub>3</sub>O<sub>2</sub>$  radicals (3, 4, 6, 7, 9) or CH20 radicals *(11).* However, the surface oxygen must be necessary for the initial step, i.e., hydrogen abstraction from methane. Thus, if we could supply an active surface oxygen without supplying the gasphase oxygen, we should get a high  $C_2$ selectivity. To that end, oxygen sources other than  $O_2$  need to be developed.

The authors have succeeded in utilizing  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  as an oxidant for this reaction over PbO-MgO and alkaline earth metal-doped CaO catalysts *(12, 13).* Over these catalysts, almost 100%  $C_2$  selectivity was obtained from the reaction of  $CH<sub>4</sub>/O<sub>2</sub>$  (100/1). We obtained even higher  $C_2$  yield by the reaction with  $CH<sub>4</sub>/CO<sub>2</sub>/O<sub>2</sub>$  (100/100/1) than

that by the reaction with  $CH<sub>4</sub>/O<sub>2</sub>$  (100/1). Thus, we suggest that one of the two oxygens in  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  was used for the abstraction of hydrogen from methane to give  $C_2$  hydrocarbons. Small amounts of  $O<sub>2</sub>$  were necessary to stabilize the catalyst used for a long run. In the previous studies *(12, 13),* various catalyst samples have been examined; however, only preliminary work has been done concerning reaction performance and the mechanism. The objective of this study is to understand further the mechanism of this reaction  $(2CH_4 + CO_2 = C_2H_6 + CO +$  $H_2O$  and/or  $2CH_4 + 2CO_2 = C_2H_4 + 2CO +$  $2H<sub>2</sub>O$ ) over an effective catalyst (15 mol%) PbO-MgO) applying isotope techniques and kinetics.

#### EXPERIMENTAL

The 15 mol% PbO-MgO catalyst was prepared by impregnation of MgO (Soekawa Chemical Co., 99.9%) with lead nitrate (Kanto Chemical Co., 99.5%) in water. The slurry sample was partly dried, and the paste was extruded using a plastic syringe *(6, 13).* Each sample of 2 g was evacuated at 773 K for 1 h and subsequently at 1073 K for 2 h and used for the reaction. BET surface area of the sample was 120

<sup>1</sup> To whom correspondence should be addressed.



FIG. 1. Schematic diagram of the reaction system. (A) Flow system; (B) circulation system; (1) sampling cock of reactant gas by GC; (2) sampling cock of product gas for GC; (3) 8-way cock for changing the systems; (4) sampling cock for mass spectrometry or GC.

 $m^2/g$  after use for 24 h. Reactions were mostly carried out at 1073 K using a conventional flow system *(6, 13)* under atmospheric pressure. The quartz reactor has a size of 6 mm i.d. with about 100 mm length in the furnace.

For testing the effectiveness of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ , a gas mixture containing *CH4/CO2/O2/He* (5/  $5/0.05/50$  ml/min) (reactant A) was fed over 2 g of the catalyst for 3 h and then replaced with  $CH<sub>4</sub>/O<sub>2</sub>/He$  (5/0.05/50 ml/min) (reactant  $B$ ) for another  $3$  h. The reactants and products were analyzed by gas chromatography every 30 min, and the average values were calculated. The contact time, *W/F,*  was controlled by changing the catalyst weight under the fixed flow rate.

For an isotopic study, we used a single reactor which was attached to both the circulation system and the flow system. The schematic diagram is shown in Fig. 1. After the reaction was carried out over 0.1 g of the sample for 2 h using the flow system, the isotope experiment was performed using the circulation system containing  ${}^{12}CD_4/$  ${}^{13}CO_2/O_2$  (8.3/8.3/0.08 kPa) gas. Reactants and products were analyzed by mass spectrometry and gas chromatography at approximately the same time.

Samples used for various reaction times

were analyzed by XPS (Shimadzu-ESCA 750) in order to observe changes in the catalyst surface.

#### RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

### *Effect of CO<sub>2</sub> and Reaction Temperature*

Reactions with reactant  $A$  (containing  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ ) were compared with those of reactant  $B$  (CO<sub>2</sub>-free) at various temperatures. Figure 2 shows the product yields at reaction temperatures between 923 and 1098 K. The maximum theoretical  $C_2$ -compound yield with reactant B (CH<sub>4</sub>/O<sub>2</sub> = 100/1) was 3% if the ethene/ethane ratio was  $1/2$  (2CH<sub>4</sub> +  $0.5O_2 = C_2H_6 + H_2O$  and  $2CH_4 + O_2 = C_2H_4$  $+$  2H<sub>2</sub>O). The C<sub>2</sub> compounds yield (large white circles in Fig. 2) at 1073 K was close to this value (ethane yield of 2% and ethene yield of 1%), indicating quite a high  $C_2$  selectivity. CO was barely observable. When reactant A  $(CH_4/CO_2/O_2 = 100/100/1)$  was employed,  $C_2$  yields (small white circles) at 1023 K were clearly higher than those with reactant  $B$  (large white circles) and they were even higher than the maximum theo-



FIG. 2. Effect of reaction temperature on catalytic activity over 15% PbO-MgO. Small symbols with solid lines represent the results for reactant  $A$  (CH<sub>4</sub>/  $CO<sub>2</sub>/O<sub>2</sub>/He$  = 5/5/0.05/50 ml/min). Large symbols with dotted lines represent the results for reactant B  $(CH_4/O_2/He = 5/0.05/50$  ml/min). (O)  $C_2H_6$ , ( $\bullet$ )  $C_2H_4$ , **(A)** co.

retical yield of reactant B. At least the excess  $C_2$  yield should be due to the use of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and we suggest that  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  acts as an oxidant for methane coupling *(12-14).* CO (triangles) was produced at the same time through  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . If CH<sub>4</sub> was not present,  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ did not react and CO was not produced. The  $C_2$  yield reached a maximum at a temperature of 1023-I048 K and decreased at higher temperature, while ethene yield (small black circles) still increased up to a temperature of 1125 K. The amount of CO increased monotonically with the temperature. It was strange that CO was produced very slightly at 973 K although  $C_2$  yield under reactant A was higher than that under reactant  $B$ . To explain this, two possibilities are considered. First,  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  might promote the  $C_2$  production without decomposition. Ross *et al.* have reported that  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ promotes the reaction because the surface carbonate stabilizes the alkali promoter *(i0).* Secondly, at a rather low temperature  $(973 \text{ K})$ , CO converted from CO<sub>2</sub> might be adsorbed strongly. The second explanation seems plausible, because it was observed that  $CO$  and  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  which were adsorbed on the catalyst surface during the run under reactant A were desorbed during the 3-h run under reactant  $B$  over the effective catalysts *(13).* Initial run with reactant B did not give any CO.

## *Reaction Products as a Function of Contact Time*

Figure 3 represents the rate of product formation as a function of *W/F* (weight of catalyst/total flow rate). The experiment was carried out at 1073 K with reactant A. At  $W/F$  lower than 0.3 g s/ml,  $C_2H_6$  yield (white circles) increases linearly and then decreases gradually with a maximum at around *W/F* of 0.4 g s/ml. On the other hand,  $C_2H_4$  yield (black circles) increases gradually. But CO yield (white triangles) increases monotonically. This indicates clearly that  $C_2H_6$  and CO are the initial products and that  $C_2H_4$  is the secondary product. Black triangles represent the theo-



FIG. 3. Effect of contact time *(W/F)* on the reaction rate. Reactant *A (CH,/CO2/O2/He* = 5/5/0.05/50 ml/ min) was fed at 1073 K over several samples of 15% PbO-MgO with various weights. (O)  $C_2H_6$ , ( $\bullet$ )  $C_2H_4$ , ( $\square$ ) total C<sub>2</sub> hydrocarbons, ( $\triangle$ ) CO, ( $\blacktriangle$ ) theoretical CO yield assuming that one of the oxygen atoms in  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  is used for the coupling reaction (Eqs. (1) to (3) in the text).

retical CO yield assuming that one of the oxygen atoms in  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  is used exclusively for the production of  $C_2$  hydrocarbons with the actual ratio of ethene/ethane in this experiment. In the smaller *W/F* region, the experimental CO yield (white triangles) is almost the same as the calculated CO yield. Thus, it is suggested that oxygen produced by the reverse shift reaction (Eq. l) was used selectively for the coupling reaction (Eq. 2).

$$
CO_2 \qquad \longrightarrow CO + O(a) \qquad (1)
$$

$$
2CH_4 + O(a) \to C_2H_6 + H_2O \qquad (2)
$$

$$
C_2H_6 \longrightarrow C_2H_4 + H_2 \qquad (3)
$$

$$
H_2 + O(a) \longrightarrow H_2O \tag{4}
$$

$$
C_2H_6 + O(a) \rightarrow C_2H_4 + H_2O \qquad (5)
$$

$$
C_2H_4 + 4O(a) \rightarrow 2CO + 2H_2O \qquad (6)
$$

But, in the high *W/F* region, Eq. 1 is suggested to occur without increasing the  $C_2$ formation. This is probably because  $C_2$ products further react with the oxygen which was released from  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  (Eqs. 3, 4, 5, and 6).  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  was not reactive without the presence of methane or  $C_2$  hydrocarbons.

### *Isotope Experiment*

We further studied this reaction under the condition of a small *W/F* region in which  $C_2$  selectivity was almost 100% and  $C<sub>2</sub>$  oxidation was negligible. We tried to determine from isotope experiments whether the carbon source of product  $CO$  was  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ or  $CD_4$ . <sup>13</sup>CO<sub>2</sub> and <sup>12</sup>CD<sub>4</sub> were introduced with the presence of small amounts of  $O<sub>2</sub>$ over 0.1 g of 15 mol% PbO-MgO catalyst in the circulation system. If  $^{12}CO$  were produced, it should be derived from  $CH<sub>4</sub>$ . If  ${}^{13}CO$  were produced, it should be derived from  ${}^{13}CO_2$  by the reverse shift reaction (Eqs. 1 and/or 7).

$$
CO2 + H2 \rightarrow CO + H2O \qquad (7)
$$

The results shown in Fig. 4 indicate that 13CO is produced only under this reaction condition. An initial high value of 12CO was due to the remaining CO before the system was changed from the flow system to the circulation system. <sup>13</sup>CO only increased. Under this condition of low *W/F,* we concluded that CO was not produced from CD4, but was derived by the reverse shift reaction of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ .  $C<sub>2</sub>$  selectivity based on the reactant CD4 was almost 100% under this condition.



FlG. 4. Results of the isotope tracer experiment. Time course of <sup>13</sup>CO ( $\bullet$ ) and <sup>12</sup>CO ( $\bullet$ ) yield and <sup>13</sup>CO percentage ( $\odot$ ). Circulation system containing  $^{13}CO_{2}/$  $CD_4/O_2$  (8.3/8.3/0.08 Torr) gas was used at 1073 K over 15% PbO-MgO (0.1 g).

# *Reaction between*  $C_2$  *Hydrocarbons and CO 2 in the Gas Phase*

Four kinds of reactant gas mixtures- $C_2H_6/He$  (5/55 ml/min),  $C_2H_4/He$  (5/55 ml/ min),  $C_2H_6/CO_2/He$  (5/5/50 ml/min),  $C_2H_4/$  $CO<sub>2</sub>/He$  (5/5/50 ml/min)—were introduced to the reactor with or without the catalyst (15 mol% PbO-MgO) at 1073 K. The results are summarized in Table 1. Products CO and H<sub>2</sub> could not be measured properly. The main results are summarized as fol-

Reactant	No catalyst				15% PbO-MgO	
	$C_2H_6$	$C_2H_4$	$C_2H_6 +$ CO <sub>2</sub>	$C_2H_4 +$ CO <sub>2</sub>	$C_2H_6 +$ CO <sub>2</sub>	$C_2H_4 +$ CO <sub>2</sub>
$C_2H_6$ conv.(%)	80		79		80	
$C_2H_4$ conv.(%)		10		14		19
$CO2$ conv.(%)					39	21
$C_2H_4$ yield(%)	68		68		64	
$C_2H_6$ yield(%)				Тr		Tr
$CH4$ yield(%)	Tr	Tr	2	Tr	0	Tr

TABLE 1



*Note.* Four kinds of reactants- $C_2H_6/CO_2/He$  (5/5/50 ml/min),  $C_2H_6/He$  (5/55 ml/min),  $C_2H_4/CO_2/He$  (5/5/50 ml/min),  $C_2H_4/He$  (5/55 ml/min)---were introduced to the reactor with or without catalyst (2 g, 15 % PbO-MgO) at 1073 K.

lows. (1) Dehydrogenation of  $C_2H_6$  to  $C_2H_4$ occurs in the gas phase (Eq. 3). The extent of this reaction was independent of the presence of the catalyst. (2) Gas-phase decomposition of  $C_2H_4$  is not important. (3)  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  was not converted unless hydrocarbons were introduced in the reaction zone with or without catalysts. (4) Reaction of  $C_2H_6$  with  $CO_2$  is observed in the gas phase (Eqs. 3 and 7); however, it is promoted by the catalyst, which suggests the importance of Eqs. l, 3, and 4 (surface and gas-phase reactions) rather than Eqs. 3 and 7 (gasphase reaction). (5) Reaction of  $C_2H_4$  with  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  (Eqs. 1 and 6) appears to occur over the catalyst surface because the reaction of  $C_2H_4$  with  $CO_2$  is promoted by the catalysts.

In statements (1) and (4),  $C_2H_6$  was converted to  $C_2H_4$  through the gas-phase reaction (Eq. 3). However, the catalytic process (Eq. 5) might also be possible. Equilibrium data tell us that about 80% of  $C_2H_6$  could be decomposed to  $C_2H_4$  and  $H_2$  under 1 atm and at 1073 K (Eq. 3) (1). From Eqs. 1, 3, and 4 or Eqs. 1 and 5,

$$
C_2H_6 + CO_2 \rightarrow C_2H_4 + CO
$$
  
+ H<sub>2</sub>O ( $\Delta G = 0$  kJ/mol at 1073 K), (8)

where about 57% of  $C_2H_6$  is converted to  $C<sub>2</sub>H<sub>4</sub>$  under 1 atm of the reactant mixture (13). The conversion data of  $C_2H_6$  in Table 1 seems quite near to the equilibrium value of Eq. 3 or 8. Thus, the insignificance of the catalyst may be due to the easiness of Eq. 3 or 8, which reaches equilibrium easily without the catalyst. Both processes of Eqs. 3 and 5 can thus be possible.

### *Analysis of Catalyst Surface*

XPS analyses have been performed for samples with different reaction times (such as 3, 6, or 69-h runs, etc.) using reactant A. Figure 5 represents the relative surface concentration of elements as a function of reaction time. It is clear that the relative surface Pb concentration (white circles) de-



FIG. 5. Results of surface analysis using XPS. The change of the relative surface atom intensity is shown as a function of reaction time. (O) Pb/Mg,  $(\triangle)$  O/Mg,  $(Q)$  C<sub>2</sub> yield percentage. The reaction was performed under the standard condition using reactant  $A$  (CH<sub>4</sub>/  $CO<sub>2</sub>/O<sub>2</sub>/He = 5/5/0.05/50$  ml/min) at 1073 K. O<sup>+</sup> represents the catalyst state before the pretreatment.

creases drastically at the first stage of reaction (0 to 30 min). This phenomenon seems to correspond with the very high  $C_2$  yield at the first stage (black circles). It is assumed that the reactive oxygen of surface PbO is used for the reaction with  $CH<sub>4</sub>$  and the reduced lead is evaporated. The relative Pb intensity decreases gradually, while the intensity of oxygen which is bound both with Pb and Mg remains almost constant.  $C_2$ yield seems to be almost constant until 69 h (the end of this run).

An oxygen balance was calculated. Under reactant B, oxygen reacted completely. By the addition of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ ,  $C<sub>2</sub>$  yield increased by about 1.0%. This increase is due to the sacrifice of the oxygen atom in  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ , the rate of which corresponds to 2.5 mmol(Oatom)/day if the actual  $C_2H_4/C_2H_6$  ratio is a calculational base. On the other hand, 2 g of the starting 15 mol% PbO-MgO catalyst contains 3.72 mmol of PbO, if we consider the weight decrease due to the evolution of  $NO<sub>x</sub>$  and  $H<sub>2</sub>O$  (about 10%) during the pretreatment. Probably the actual PbO concentration is even lower than this value because of PbO evaporation during pretreatment. The turnover of oxygen atoms is at least 1.9 times the amount of bulk oxygen in PbO. Thus, PbO is concluded to work as a catalyst, not as a reagent, even though some of it is reduced and evaporated during the catalysis. A nonreducible mixed-oxide catalyst, BaO-CaO, has also been found to be an effective catalyst of this process *(14),* where the adsorbed oxygen could be an intermediate. This fact also suggests that the title reaction can be a catalyzed process.

# *The Possibility of Obtaining Higher C2 Yields*

The calculated ethane yield from  $CH<sub>4</sub>/$  $CO<sub>2</sub> (= 1/1)$  is 13% under equilibrium at 1073 K *(13).* If Eqs. 1 and 2 could be combined properly, a good yield and high selectivity should be obtained. In fact, we were able to obtain a high  $C_2$  selectivity by using  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ , but the  $C<sub>2</sub>$  yield is still lower than the equilibrium value.  $CH<sub>4</sub>$  was converted only to  $C_2$  hydrocarbons and  $CO_2$  was converted only to CO by the reverse shift reaction under low *W/F* and at the proper temperature (973 to 1073 K). Since the existence of hydrocarbons is necessary for  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  decomposition, the two reactions (Eqs. 1 and 2) can be combined, but the condition is restricted. Methane reacts with the active oxygen on the catalysts surface through the reverse shift reaction of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . The redox nature of the catalyst may be important to yield the active oxygen from  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  (1, 5, 7, 13). We speculate that good  $C_2$  yield can be obtained when each step of Eqs. l, 2, 3, and 4 can be controlled kinetically without allowing Eq. 6.

#### **CONCLUSION**

It was found that  ${}^{13}CO_2$  and  ${}^{12}CD_4$  produced exclusively  $^{13}CO$  and  $^{12}C_2$  hydrocarbons and that no 12CO was produced under the low *W/F* condition of the title reaction over 15 mol% PbO-MgO at 1073 K. The oxidative coupling of  $CH<sub>4</sub>$  is assisted by the reverse shift reaction of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ , and one of the oxygen atoms of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  is used for the CH4 coupling reaction. It was also found that products of  $C_2$  hydrocarbons reacted with oxygen from  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  under high conversion or high temperature.

#### REFERENCES

- I. Keller, G. E., and Bhasin, *M. M., J. Catal.* 73, 9 (1982).
- 2. Hinsen, W., and Baerns, M., *Chem. Zig.* 107, 223 (1983).
- 3. Sinev, M. Y., Vorobeva, G. A., and Korchak, V. N., *Kinet. Katal.* 27, 1164 (1986).
- 4. Ito, T., Wang, J.-X., Lin, C.-H., and Lunsford, J. H., *J. Amer. Chem. Soc.* 107, 5062 (1985).
- 5. Asami, K., Shikada, T., Fujimoto, T., and Tominaga, H., *in* "Preprint of 52nd Annual Meeting of Chem. Soc. Japan," Vol. l, p. ll0 (2c 41), 1986.
- 6. Moriyama, T., Takasaki, N., lwamatsu, E., and Aika, K., *Chem. Lett.,* 1165 (1986); Iwamatsu, E., Moriyama, T., Takasaki, N., and Aika, *K., J. Catal.* 113, 25 (1988).
- 7. lwamatsu, E., and Aika, K., *J. Catal.* 117, 416 (1989).
- 8. Labinger, J. A., and Ott, *K. C., J. Phys. Chem.*  91, 2682 (1987).
- 9. Sofranko, J. A., Lenoard, J. J., and Jones, C. A., *J. Catal.* 103, 302 (1987).
- *10.* Korf, S. J., Roos, J. A., De Bruijn, N. A., Van Ommen, J. G., and Ross, J. B. H., *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.,* 1433 (1987).
- *11.* Geerts, J. W. M. H., Van Kasteren, J. M. N., and Van der Wiele. K., *CataL Today* 4, 453 (1989).
- *12.* Aika, K., and Nishiyama, T., *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.,* 70 (1988).
- *13.* Aika, K., and Nishiyama, T., *in* "'Proceedings, 9th International Congress on Catalysis, Calgary, 1988" (M. J. Phillips and M. Ternan, Eds.), Vol. 2, p. 907. Chem. Institute of Canada, Ottawa, 1988.
- *14.* Aika, K., and Nishiyama, T., *CataL Today* 4, 271 (1989).